



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,215	03/12/2004	Daniel Rohleder	WP 21723 US	8547

7590 05/07/2007  
Sujatha Subramaniam  
Roche Diagnostics Operations, Inc.  
9115 Hague Road, Bldg. D  
Indianapolis, IN 46250

EXAMINER
----------

WINAKUR, ERIC FRANK

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3768

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/07/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/800,215	Applicant(s) ROHLEDER ET AL.	
	Examiner Eric F. Winakur	Art Unit 3768	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Eric F. Winakur. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Charles P. Schmal. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12, 25 (proposed amendments).


Identification of prior art discussed: Ward, Ham, Harr, and Wach (all of record).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed amended claim 1 (incorporating details of claim 7), amended claim 12 in independent form, and amended claim 25 (incorporating details of claim 26) and discussed why these structures and method define over the art rejections set forth in the Final Office action. Examiner noted that if Applicant were to make these amendments and additionally clarify details related to the claimed "test volume" it would define over the art of record. Applicant will take the discussion into account when preparing the formal response.